# Ecological Management Practices: A nature-based solution for Water and Sediment Yield from Urban Hilly Watershed

Presented by Dr. Sagarika Patowary Assistant Professor (WRE) NERIWALM



# Causes???

## Extensive removal of vegetative cover caused by unplanned urban development

## **Slope instability**

## Soil erosion

## Less infiltration, more runoff

EMPs can be considered as an appropriate and competent urban watershed management practices if implemented appropriately.



# Hill-specific optimization model for EMP application

#### **OPTEMP-CSMO**

(Sarma et al. 2013): **OPTimal EMP model** considering Carbon Sequestration with **Multi-Objective** optimization: aims to maximize the carbon sequestration and then, to minimize the EMPs cost.

#### **OPTEMP-LS**

(Sarma et al. 2015): determines optimum allocation of EMPs in a hilly urban watershed control sediment to and runoff yield from watershed within а permissible limit but with a minimum possible cost.

#### **R-OPTEMP-LS**

(Patowary et al. 2019): OPTEMP-LS by incorporating the hill cut factor in order to determine optimal combination of EMPs more accurately, based on GIS-based urban settlement estimation.

Residential development in hills is associated with steep hill cuts, which are rarely visible in ortho-rectified satellite image.

People prefer to live in flat land than in a raised platform in the form of a stilt house.



The hill cut factor assesses the steep hill cut area (associated with the residential development), which are rarely visible in orthorectified satellite images. (Patowary and Sarma 2018).

## How to use this revised OPTEMP-LS?

## Study area: Hills of Guwahati city

15 hills under Guwahati Municipal Corporation Area (GMCA) –

 University 2) Fatasil 3) Kalapahar 4) Sonaighuli 5) Sarania 6) Kharguli 7) Japorigog 8) Burha-gosain 9)Khanapara 10) Garbhanga 11) Kamakhya 12) Kahilipara 13) Betkuchi 14) Chunsali 15) Koinadhara.

*Burha-gosain, Khanapara, Koinadhara* and *Garbhanga* hills *partly lie* in the study area (GMCA).

Total *watersheds* from 15 hills of Guwahati city = 612

Patowary, S. (2018). Projection of urban settlement in eco sensitive areas and its impact on watershed hydrology.

## Peak runoff maps



# Peak runoff maps



# Soil loss maps



## Soil loss maps



## Location of sample watershed

- Location of the study watershed: Japorigog hill of Guwahati city.
- Slope: 0-32.9 degree (with an average slope of 14.17 degree)
- Elevation: 59 m -177 m.
- Total area: 74 ha, of which, urban settlement in 2015= 30.8% .(Patowary et al. 2019)



## **Urban settlement map**



Patowary, S. and Sarma, A.K. (2019). Projection of urban settlement in eco-sensitive hilly areas and its impact on peak runoff. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 1-16.

## **Application of R-OPTEMP-LS model**

#### The R-OPTEMP-LS model can be used

• to determine the **optimum combination of EMPs** in a hilly urban watershed with **a minimum possible cost**.

to control the sediment and runoff yield from the watershed within a sustainable limit.

Objective function:

Minimize  $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Cq_i + Cm_i) Xp_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q} (Cq_j + Cm_j) Xh_j + \sum_{k=1}^{r} (Cq_k + Cm_k) Yh_k$ 

 $Xp_i$  = Area of the i<sup>th</sup> EMP applied in plain area of the watershed (m<sup>2</sup>).

 $Xh_j = Area of the j^{th} EMP$  applied in hilly area of the watershed (m<sup>2</sup>).

 $Yh_k = Area of the k^{th} EMP applied in steep hill cuts of watershed (m<sup>2</sup>).$ 

i= 1, 2, 3, ....., n are the EMPs considered for the urban settlement area in the plain area of the watershed (grass, garden, forest, and detention pond)

j= 1, 2, 3, ....., q are the EMPs considered for the urban settlement area in the hilly area of the watershed (grass, garden, forest, and detention pond).

k=1, 2, 3, ....., r are the EMPs considered for the steep hill cuts associated with urban settlements in the hilly portion of the watershed (grass, and retaining wall).

Cq<sub>i</sub> Cq<sub>j</sub> Cq<sub>k</sub> :Construction costs of i<sup>th</sup>, j<sup>th</sup> and k<sup>th</sup> EMPs, respectively. (market rates 2012- 2013). Cm<sub>i</sub> Cm<sub>i</sub> Cm<sub>k</sub>:maintenance costs of i<sup>th</sup>, j<sup>th</sup> and k<sup>th</sup> EMPs, respectively (market rates 2012- 2013)

#### **Revised OPTEMP-LS model (Constraints)**

Sediment yield constraint: addressed by RUSLE.

 $S_{min} \leq S \leq S_{max}$ 

 $S_{min} \& S_{max} = minimum$  and maximum annual sediment yield required from the watershed (tonnes/yr);

S = sediment yield after the application of EMPs from watershed (tonnes/yr).

 $S_{min} = 0$ ,  $S_{max} = S_{natural} = 2608.79$  t/yr,

> *<u>Peak runoff constraint</u>: addressed by the Rational Method.* 

 $Q_{min} ~\leq Q \leq ~Q_{max}$ 

 $Q_{min} \& Q_{max} = minimum$  and maximum peak runoff required from the watershed (m<sup>3</sup>/s);

Q = peak runoff after the application of EMPs from the watershed  $(m^3/s)$ 

 $Q_{min} = Q_{natural} = 2.979$  cumec,  $Q_{max} = Q_{drain} = 4$  cumec

#### **Revised OPTEMP-LS model (sediment yield constraint).....**

$$\begin{split} S &= \text{RKLSP} \left[ C_c A_c + \sum_{g=1}^u C_{Lg} A_{Lg} + \sum_{i=1}^n C_{EPi} X p_i + C_{uc} \left( A_{puc} - \sum_{i=1}^n X p_i \right) + \right. \\ & \left. \sum_{j=1}^q C_{EHj} X h_j + C_{uc} \left( A_{huc} - \sum_{j=1}^q X h_j \right) + \left. \sum_{l=1}^t C_{LSHl} A_{LSHl} + \left. \sum_{k=1}^r C_{ESHk} Y h_k + C_{uc} \left( A_{shuc} - \sum_{k=1}^r Y h_k \right) \right] \end{split}$$

C<sub>c</sub>= Cover management factor for impervious area.

 $A_c$  = Impervious area in the watershed (m<sup>2</sup>)

C<sub>Lg</sub> = Cover management factor for g type of natural land cover in the watershed.

 $A_{Lg}$  = Area of g type of natural land covers in the watershed (m<sup>2</sup>).

C<sub>EPi</sub> = Cover management factor for i<sup>th</sup> type of EMPs applied in plain area of watershed.

C<sub>uc</sub> = Cover management bare/uncovered area in the watershed.

=bare/uncovered area in the settlement area of the plain watershed area (m<sup>2</sup>).

A<sub>puc</sub>= uncovered settlement area of plain watershed area

C<sub>EHj</sub> =Cover management factor for j<sup>th</sup> type of EMPs applied in the settlement area of the hilly portion of the watershed.

 $A_{huc}$  = uncovered settlement area in the hilly area of the watershed (m<sup>2</sup>).

A<sub>shuc</sub> = Area of bare steep hill cuts associated with urban settlements in the hilly area (m<sup>2</sup>)

### **Revised OPTEMP-LS model (peak runoff constraint).....**

$$Q = [R_{Cc}p_{c}U_{sw} + \sum_{m=1}^{u} R_{Cm}A_{Lm} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{CEPi}Xp_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} R_{CEHj}Xh_{j} + R_{Cuc}\{(1 - p_{c})U_{sw} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} Xp_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{q} Xh_{j})\}] \times I$$

**R<sub>Cc</sub>**= Runoff co-efficient for impervious area.

 $U_{sw}$ = Urban settlement in the watershed (m<sup>2</sup>).

**R**<sub>Cg</sub>= Runoff co-efficient for m type of natural land cover in the watershed.

**R**<sub>CEPi</sub>= Runoff co-efficient for i<sup>th</sup> type of EMPs applied in the plain area of the watershed.

 $R_{CEHj}$  = Runoff co-efficient for j<sup>th</sup> type of EMPs applied in the settlement area of the hilly portion of the watershed.

**R**<sub>Cuc</sub>= Runoff co-efficient for settlement area not having imperviousness i.e. bare/uncovered area in the watershed.

I = Rainfall intensity for the time of concentration of the watershed for a selected design storm (m/s).

### **Revised OPTEMP-LS model**

#### Other Constraints

#### > Maximum area available for EMP:

Total EMP area  $\leq$  bare settlement area.

► EMP area suitability constraint: Minimum feasible area required for EMP ≤ Area of any EMP ≤ Suitable area available in the watershed for that EMP (Sarma 2011).



Owner's choice for EMPs: The planned EMP area must be within the maximum and minimum limit of areas for that particular EMP as per the owner's choice. Ecological Management Practices (EMPs) can provide nature-based solutions for reducing flood risks in a sustainable and economically viable manner



Source: CE Department, IIT Guwahati

## **Detail Planning in Already Developed Area**



Source: CE Department, IIT Guwahati

# Work Executed by GMDA on technical advice from IITG

Old road repaired and New Dra step chutes

Source: CE Department, IIT Guwahati

Roads with paver blocks and drain with step chutes

#### Can we train our children to say......

Rain drop rain drop Fill our glass, Don't go to ocean Stay with us.

- Prof. Arup Kumar Sarma

# References

- Patowary, S. and Sarma, A. K. (2018). Model-based analysis of urban settlement process in eco-sensitive area of developing country: a study with special reference to hills of an Indian city. *Environment, Development and Sustainability,* Springer, *20 (4)*, pp 1777-1795
- Patowary, S. and Sarma, A.K. (2018). GIS-based estimation of soil loss from hilly urban area incorporating hill cut factor into RUSLE. *Water Resources Management*, *32*(10), 3535-3547.
- Patowary, S. and Sarma, A.K. (2019). Projection of urban settlement in eco-sensitive hilly areas and its impact on peak runoff. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 1-16.
- Patowary, S., Sarma, B. and Sarma, A.K. (2019). A Revision of OPTEMP-LS Model for Selecting Optimal EMP Combination for Minimizing Sediment and Water Yield from Hilly Urban Watersheds. *Water Resources Management*, *33*(4), 1249-1264.
- Sarma, A.K., Giraud, G. and Baishya, M.D. (2006). Rainwater harvesting for urban flood peak reduction". *My Green Earth. J. Soc. Socio Econ. Awareness Environ. Prot*, *3*(2), 14-21.
- Sarma, B. (2011). *Optimal ecological management practices for controlling sediment and water yield from a hilly urban system within sustainable limit*" (Doctoral dissertation).
- Sarma, B., Sarma, A.K., Mahanta, C. and Singh, V.P. (2015).Optimal ecological management practices for controlling sediment yield and peak discharge from hilly urban areas". *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 20(10), 04015005.



Thank you