

Action for Cool Cities: Pathways for carbon reduction in buildings and improvement of outdoor thermal comfort

Partners of Connective Cities







Commissioned by



Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development



Cool Cities: why & how?

- climate in cities is not only getting warmer, but also more people will be affected by this warming particularly the most vulnerable.
- While urban heat islands are observed throughout the year, the effect is particularly pronounced and critical in the summer months.
- Causes
 - $\,\circ\,$ Significant reduction of permeable surfaces and vegetation \rightarrow less evaporative cooling
 - Compared to rural areas, urban areas witness increased heat generation through combustion processes (e.g. engine waste heat, industrial production) or waste heat from electrical equipment (e.g. air conditioning). Change in wind conditions: In urban areas, there is a decrease in wind speed due to building development a reduction in wind speed.

Inspirational good practices





Monitoring & energy transition strategies









Understanding & Observation

Strategies & Institutional framework

Planning/design Processes & climate data

Steering structure & cooperation for common understanding

Technical capacities & awareness



Ideation and prototyping of solutions by the participating municipalities within the thematic fields Designing climate-responsive outdoor public spaces and promoting engagement of citizens

Steering Structure: Interdepartmental Communication framework/platform in municipalities

> Optimizing existing building and urban design regulations by introducing key performance indicators and improving in-house capacity

municipality as a regulator and implementer: budget analysis and strategies for cost recovery of climate action measures

- Biodiversity park/ forest reserve in the municipalities of **EI-Kef in Tunisia and Bab Amman in Jordan**
- Piloting the green infrastructure master plan of Irbid municipality in Jordan and introducing KPIs for improving outdoor thermal comfort in urban design
- Establishing a specialised unit for climate change in Hebron municipality- Palestine
- A regional urban park for Khan Younis in Gaza-Palestine
- Urban upgrading of the riverside of the Auji creek in Kisumu, Kenya









Superblocks program in Tbilisi- georgia

SUPERBLOCKS MODEL







James Nyagol- Senior Climate Change officer- Kisumu county government, Kenya

Urban upgrading of the riverside of the Auji creek in **Kisumu**, **Kenya**

AUJI CREEK CONCEPT (KISUMU CITY)



Urban Renewal & Regeneration Auji Creek

Auji Creek is the main one of several wetland areas in the city. Auji Creek Park is envisioned as a People's Park is that created, owned and managed by the community for the environmental and social benefits of all people for present and future generations. The overall goal is to conserve and secure the Park as a key asset for Kisumu Metropolis and its peoples.

The wetland faces several challenges including;

- Clearing of wetlands and Swamps for settlement and Agriculture. This results in increased and more devastating flooding
- Cultivation up to river banks leading to bank and soil erosion
- · Pollution from farms and industries as well as dumped solid waste
- · Lack of clear tenure leading to encroachment

Several objectives and strategies are employed to create the envisioned People's Park;

- Creating a Park that conserves biodiversity, benefits local people and is a centre for culture and recreation
- · Ensure biodiversity conservation and managemed for the benefit of residents and visitors
- · Develop and enhance key environmental services especially with respect to mitigating flood waters
- Auji Creek Park as a key part of a network of open spaces linking the city with the Lake
- Adoption of a community-based approach to conservation which begins from education and training on the practices and importance to agreed to rights and responsibilities of use as in a management plan















Network of bridges, pedestrian and cyclist paths. Breda Municipality Netherlands Mountains National Park





Demography - Population Density 2019









Auji Creek Process Flow Diagram



Feedback from stakeholders (United Destiny Shapers-UDS)

- Need for demarcation of the Auji river to limit encroachment
- Need for stakeholder engagement/multi-stakeholder engagement
- Need for community awareness
- Need to incorporate farming livelihood with the conservation efforts
- The CBO has already adopted a section of the river for the conservation efforts (now used for recreational & fishing area)



Part of the pilot project in Kisumu Kenya.





Current state of Auji River



Thank you for listening



Giorgi Maisuradze- Head of the International relations and projects department, Tbilisi City Hall- Georgia

Superblocks program in Tbilisi- Georgia









<mark>თბილისის მერია</mark> TBILISI CITY HALL



Figure 1 - Mobility rate in different cities Source: Systra, 2016





Figure 4 - Share of non-obliged trips, comparison between Paris and Tbilisi Source: Systra





Figure 5 - Detailed trip motives, 2016 HHS Source: Systra





Source: Systra

<mark>თბილისის მერია</mark> TBILISI CITY HALL





Figure 47 - Bus network, existing peak hour bus speeds (morning peak – 8h30-9h30), Model Base year 2022

Tbilisi Strategies and Targets



FROM A CAR-ORIENTED PARADIGM TO A SUSTAINABLE MOBILITY PARADIGM



<mark>თბილისის მჭ</mark>ტია TBILISI CITY HALL It is expected that 1,5% off all trips will be done by bicycle in 2027 and 0,5% of all trips will be done by a combination of cycling and public transport.

Mode share target over time





Illustration by Ana Dolidze (for illustrative purposes only)



City or Region	Country	Current Modal Share	Target Modal Share	Cycling Increase
Baden-	Germany	10% in 2015	20% by 2025	x 2
Württemberg				1912
Batumi ¹⁶	Georgia	0.5% in 2015	8% by 2030	x 16
	<u>-</u>			
Berlin	Germany	13% in 2014	20% by 2025	x 1,5
Bratislava	Slovakia	2% in 2012	10% by 2020	x 5
Budapest	Hungary	2% in 2010	10% in 2020	x 5
Calgary	Canada	0,8% in 2001	2% in 2020	x 2,5
Córdoba	Argentina	1,6% in 2011	15% by 2020	x 9,2
Dublin ¹⁷	Ireland	6% in 2011	13% by 2028	x 2
Edinburgh	United Kingdom	2% in 2010	10% by 2020	x 5
Granada	Spain	0,4% in 2011	15% by 2020	x 37,5
Groningen	Netherlands	47% in 2003	65% by 2020	x 1,3
Lima	Peru	0,03% in 2015	2% by 2018	x 66,7
Ljubljana	Slovenia	10% in 2010	15% by 2020	x 1,5
Madrid	Spain	1% in 2012	3% by 2016	x 3
Medellín	Colombia	0,5% in 2015	10% by 2030	x 20
Paris	France	5% in 2015	15% by 2020	x 3
Prague	Czech Republic	1% in 2009	7% by 2020	x 7
Rio De Janeiro	Brazil	3% in 2015	6% by 2025	x 2
Rome	Italy	0,6% by 2012	4% by 2019	x 6,7
Tbilisi	Georgia	0,15% in 2016 ¹⁸	8% by 2035	x 53.3
Vancouver	Canada	3,8% in 2013	12% by 2040	x 3,2
Vienna	Austria	7% in 2014	12% by 2020	x 1,7
Zurich	Switzerland	7% in 2011	14% by 2025	x 2

This Chart demonstrates how the long-term ambition weighs against targets of other cities across the globe. The chart offers a snapshot of the timelines that each city set as a goal and demonstrates that those who are in the early stages of introducing cycling as a transport option must often set high ambitions to realize change.



Giorgi Tsabadze St and Davit Agmashenebeli St.



```
Kote Marjanishvili St and Giorgi Chubinashvili St.
```





Evolution of cycling infrastructure over time looking east at the intersection of Giorgi Tsabadze St and Davit Agmashenebeli St. (top) Present conditions, (middle) temporary cycle infrastructure, and (bottom) future permanent cycling infrastructure.

Evolution of cycling infrastructure over time looking east at the intersection of Kote Marjanishvili St and Giorgi Chubinashvili St. (top) Present conditions, (middle) temporary cycle infrastructure, and (bottom) future permanent cycling infrastructure.







ევროპის მობილობის კვირეული 16-22 სექტემბერი 2022







ADB Supports the Feasbility and Concept design of the pilot 3 area within the City.

Consultant is expected to start works in Summer 2022













Actions









RAMBOLL











RAMBOLL







Haya Al-Agha- director of investment and economic unit, Khan Younis municipality, Palestine Regional park in Khan Younis-Palestine



Stage I: Site Selection

- An official correspondence of Land Authority for allocation of (400,000 m2).
- It is in line with the general orientation of the Gaza Strip as a natural protected area.
- Located on a regional street between Rafah and Khan Younis Governorates.





- It has **soft texture**; better infiltration for underground reservoir so it can recharge the reservoir and reduce the sea water intrusion.
- Climate Considerations: A general municipal orientation of not using water-wasting landscape like the grass and use durable trees instead.

Stage II: Stakeholders mapping

 Assessment of Khan Younis Public Space using participatory planning approach in analyzing the current situation and using the indicators of safety, comfort, accessibility and use.



Needs based assessment

Using the following steps

- Pre field work preparation "Developing assessment tools; preparation of GIS base maps, mobilizing the assessment team, training sessions".
- Data collection quality check and data cleaning "Data collection, challenges and mitigation measures, Data cleaning, Updating the GIS maps"



DEVELOPING SAFE AND INCLUSIVE PUBLIC SPACES FOR ALL THROUGH

Stage III: Site Resilience Factors

- To ensure the impact of the project and its effect on the community the following aspects should be assessed very well:
- Vulnerability groups and their accessibility to the green space.
- **Target groups** and beneficiaries of the green space.
- Environment and social impact assessment



Stage IV: Participatory Approach Design

- Project components
- Validation workshop
- Exit Strategy: ensure the flow of funds for repaying the investment as well as restoration and regeneration, public-private partnership.





Cool Cities: Carbon reduction in buildings and improvement of outdoor thermal comfort



Prof. Dr. Shady Attia

Sustainable Building Design Lab, UEE, Applied Sciences, University of Liège, Belgium shady.attia@uliege.be in /in/shady-attia-14352a7 () /www.shadyattia.org



Zero Emission Buildings & Transport

Urban Green Infrastructure

Beach

Pathways and Implementation Strategy



Vision and Strategy

- 1. Integrated overarching city strategy
- 2. Participation strategy for all relevant actors
- 3. Prioritize nature and biodiversity over competing land use
- 1. Key Performance Indicators
- 2. Links to existing and potential funding options
- 3. Potential of co-benefits and revenue generation







Project Charter as a Basis



Generate a Tailored Portfolio of Key Performance Indicators



Objective: Cooling the Climate and City Center

- 1. **Climate Neutrality & Resilience**
- 2. Low Emission Buildings and Mobility
- 3. Water Management
- 4. Natural and Climate Hazards
- 5. **Green Infrastructure & Spaces**
- 6. Biodiversity Enhancement
- 7. Air Quality
- 8. Capacity Building for Sustainable Urban Transformation
- 9. Participatory Planning and Governance
- **10.** Social Justice and Social Cohesion
- **Health and Wellbeing**
- **New Economic Opportunities and Green Jobs**



Key Performance Indicators

	Indicator	Units	Applicability
1	Total carbon reduced or stored in buildings	kgCO ₂ em2/y	Gas and Electric Meters, Smart Meters, Energy Performance Certificate, Audit, EPD
2	Avoided greenhouse gas emissions from sustainable modes of transport	kgCO ₂ e./y	Transport Fleet Inventories and modal transport
3	Tree canopy cover	%	GIS Maps or Google Maps
4	Monthly mean value of daily minimum temperature	°C	City meteorological station or weather station
5	Heat wave incidence Days with temperature above 32 C	°C	City meteorological station or weather station
6	Urban Heat Waves Incidence	#	City meteorological station or weather station
7	Green Space Accessibility	%	Number of park visitors Green Surface per citizen
8	Availability and equitable green space	map	GIS Maps, Green Network





Map GHG emissions reduction



Problem:

Unable to measure GHG emission reduction

Solution: Smart Meter Dashboards





Housing against Trees vs 40% Target



Weather Stations In-situ



WS_01_Reference

Installation with Loxam



Planting Trees



Problem:

Expensive to plant Trees 200I/week for 2 years

Solution:

Private sector adopting 60% of new trees in the city







/////

Insights

The politics of cool cities and local decision-makers

Attribute	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3
Investment cost	€ 500.000	€ 350.000	
Maintenance cost	€ 20.000 yearly	€ 20.000 yearly	
Deferred cost of sewage construction	€ 1,5 millions postponed with 20 years	€ 1,5 millions postponed with 10 years	Everything remains as is
Recreational value	25.000 yearly visits 	10.000 yearly visits	
Climate impact	Yearly equivalent of CO ₂ emissions of 15 families sequestrated	Yearly equivalent of CO ₂ emissions of 15 families sequestrated	
	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3

Comparison of different design alternatives



The barriers to green infrastructure implementation at the local level.

Cool Cities: Carbon reduction in buildings and improvement of outdoor thermal comfort



Prof. Dr. Shady Attia

Sustainable Building Design Lab, UEE, Applied Sciences, University of Liège, Belgium shady.attia@uliege.be







Panel discussion with the participants



Join CC platform!



